



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO. 09/486,334 CONNOLLY BC 1220 MARKET ST	FILING DATE 07/18/2000 09/19/2002 OVE LODGE & HUTZ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR MICHEL DROUX	UNITED STATES DEPART United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF F Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. PH-98/080	MENT OF COMMERCE rademark Office ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS CONFIRMATION NO. 6869
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, D.			EXAMIN KUBELIK, / ART UNIT 1638 DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	09/486,334		DROUX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representa	ative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Anne R. Kubelik.	(3)			
(2) <u>Liza D. Hohenschutz</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 11 September 2002.	(*)			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ app	ence			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) [lf Yes, brief description:	Yes e) No.	ativej		
Claim(s) discussed: 60.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Noji et al and S	Saito et al .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was re	eached. g)□ was not reached. I	h)⊠ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation</u>	general nature of what was agreed n Sheet	to if an agreement v	vas	
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, whe allowable is available, a summary thereof must be a				
 i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provious checked). 	ide a separate record of the substar	nce of the interview(i	f box is	
Inless the paragraph above has been checked, THI IUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER ction has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN TATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER verse side or on attached sheet.	E FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO -	THE LAST OFFICE	ACTION	
		11/		
miner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		10///		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 17.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asked if "overexpressing" was being interpreted to mean both an increase in enzyme activity and transformation with a gene encoding the enzyme, and was told that was the case. It was suggested that claim 60 be amended to make the method step be one of transforming a plant with a nucleic acid encoding a SAT and that in the response to the Final Rejection Applicant should argue why Applicant thinks Saito et al does not suggest said transformation.